DEFENDANT INFORMATION RELATIVE T	O A CRIMINAL ACTION - IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT		
BY: COMPLAINT INFORMATION INDICTMENT	Name of District Court, and/or Judge Mag Strate Location		
OFFENSE CHARGED SUPERSEDIM			
	OAKLAND DIVISTAN 1 2 000		
18 U.S.C. § 1349 – Conspiracy; 18 U.S.C. § 1957 – Money Laundering (4 Counts); 18 U.S.C. § 981 and 21 U.S.C. § 2461	7 3 2010		
(c) – Fraud Forfeiture Allegation; 18 U.S.C. § 982 – Money Laundering Forfeiture Allegation Mind	DEFENDANT - U.S RICHARD W. WIEKING NORTHERN DISTRICT COURS		
Misd mean			
X Felor	DISTRICT COURT NUMBER		
PENALTY: SEE ATTACHMENT TO PENALTY SHEET	0010 00305 Pu		
	CR10-00395 PJH		
	DEFENDANT		
PROCEEDING	IS NOT IN CUSTODY		
Name of Complaintant Agency, or Person (& Title, if any) FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIATION AND	Has not been arrested, pending outcome this proceeding.		
	1) 🗵 If not detained give date any prior summons was served on above charges		
INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICES person is awaiting trial in another Federal or State Court,	- │		
give name of court			
	3) Is on Bail or Release from (show District)		
this person/proceeding is transferred from another district			
per (circle one) FRCrp 20, 21, or 40. Show District	IS IN CUSTODY		
	4) On this charge		
this is a reprosecution of	", " " " " " " " " "		
charges previously dismissed which were dismissed on motion SHOW	5) On another conviction		
of: DOCKET NO	. 6) Awaiting trial on other charges		
U.S. ATTORNEY DEFENSE	If answer to (6) is "Yes", show name of institution		
this prosecution relates to a			
pending case involving this same	Has detainer Yes If "Yes" give date		
defendant MAGISTRATE CASE NO.	tiled		
prior proceedings or appearance(s) before U.S. Magistrate regarding this	DATE OF Month/Day/Year ARREST		
defendant were recorded under	Or if Arresting Agency & Warrant were not		
Name and Office of Person	DATE TRANSFERRED Month/Day/Year		
Furnishing Information on this form JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO	TO U.S. CUSTODY		
▼ U.S. Attorney ☐ Other U.S. Agency			
Name of Assistant U.S. Attorney (if assigned) KESLIE STEWART, AUSA	This report amends AO 257 previously submitted		
ADDITIONAL INF	ORMATION OR COMMENTS ————————————————————————————————————		
PROCESS: ☐ SUMMONS ☐ NO PROCESS* ☑ WARRANT	Bail Amount: NO BAIL		
If Summons, complete following:			
Arraignment Initial Appearance	* Where defendant previously apprehended on complaint, no new summons or warrant needed, since Magistrate has scheduled arraignment		
Defendant Address:			
	Date/Time: Before Judge:		
Comments:			

DEFENDANT JAMES DELBERT MCCONVILLE a/k/a Delbert James McConville.

COUNT ONE - 18 U.S.C. § 1349

Maximum prison sentence: 30 years

Maximum fine: \$1,000,000 or the greater of twice the gross gain or loss

Maximum supervised release term: 5 years

Mandatory special assessment: \$100 Restitution: up to the amount of the loss Forfeiture as ordered by the Court

COUNT TWO THROUGH FIVE - 18 U.S.C. § 1957

Maximum prison sentence: 10 years

Maximum fine: \$250,000

Maximum supervised release term: 3 years

Mandatory special assessment: \$100 per count of conviction

DEFENDANT INFORMATION RELATIVE T	O A CRIMINAL ACTION - IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT
BY: COMPLAINT INFORMATION INDICTMENT	Name of District Court, and/or Judge/Magistrate Location
OFFENSE CHARGED SUPERSEDIN	
	OAKLAND DIVISION ED
18 U.S.C. § 1349 – Conspiracy; 18 U.S.C. § 1957 – Money Laundering (4 Counts); 18 U.S.C. § 981 and 21 U.S.C. § 2461	MAY 1.3 2010
(c) – Fraud Forfeiture Allegation; 18 U.S.C. § 982 – Money Laundering Forfeiture Allegation	DEFENDANT - U.S RICHARD W. W.
Misd mean	NODT GET U.S. DISTRICT
X Felo	DAKLAND OALIFORNIA
PENALTY: SEE ATTACHMENT TO PENALTY	DISTRICT COURT NUMBER
	' CR10-00395 PJH
PROCEEDING	DEFENDANT
PROCEEDING Name of Complaintent Agency or Page (% Title if any)	Has not been arrested, pending outcome this proceeding
Name of Complaintant Agency, or Person (& Title, if any) FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIATION AND	1) 🗵 If not detained give date any prior summons was served on above charges
INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICES	- Commons was served on above charges
person is awaiting trial in another Federal or State Court, give name of court	2) Is a Fugitive
	3) Son Bail or Release from (show District)
this person/proceeding is transferred from another district per (circle one) FRCrp 20, 21, or 40. Show District	
	IS IN CUSTODY
	4) On this charge
this is a reprosecution of charges previously dismissed	5) On another conviction
which were dismissed on motion of: SHOW DOCKET NO	Federal State
U.S. ATTORNEY DEFENSE	6) Awaiting trial on other charges
S.S. ATTORNET	If answer to (6) is "Yes", show name of institution
this prosecution relates to a	Has detainer Yes 1 If "Yes"
pending case involving this same defendant MAGISTRATE	give date
CASE NO.	DATE OF Month/Day/Year
prior proceedings or appearance(s) ☐ before U.S. Magistrate regarding this	ARREST
defendant were recorded under	Or if Arresting Agency & Warrant were not
Name and Office of Person	DATE TRANSFERRED Month/Day/Year
Furnishing Information on this form JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO To U.S. Attorney Cother U.S. Agency	
	<u></u>
Name of Assistant U.S. Attorney (if assigned) KESLIE STEWART, AUSA	This report amends AO 257 previously submitted
PROCESS: ADDITIONAL INF	FORMATION OR COMMENTS ————————————————————————————————————
PROCESS. ☐ SUMMONS ☐ NO PROCESS* ☒ WARRANT	Bail Amount: NO BAIL
If Summons, complete following:	NO DAIL
Arraignment Initial Appearance	* Where defendant previously apprehended on complaint, no new summons or warrant needed, since Magistrate has scheduled arraignment
Defendant Address:	
	Date/Time: Before Judge:
Comments:	

DEFENDANT LAURA MARGERY CATON, a/k/a Laura Margery Tate a/k/a Laura Gussman

COUNT ONE - 18 U.S.C. § 1349

Maximum prison sentence: 30 years

Maximum fine: \$1,000,000 or the greater of twice the gross gain or loss

Maximum supervised release term: 5 years

Mandatory special assessment: \$100 Restitution: up to the amount of the loss

Comments:

DEFENDANT ARAKS DAVOUDI, a/k/a Araks Galstanian

<u>COUNT ONE</u> - 18 U.S.C. § 1349

Maximum prison sentence: 30 years

Maximum fine: \$1,000,000 or the greater of twice the gross gain or loss

Maximum supervised release term: 5 years

Mandatory special assessment: \$100 Restitution: up to the amount of the loss

Date/Time:

Comments:

Before Judge:

DEFENDANT DONNA DEMELLO, a/k/a Donna Demello Martin, a/k/a Donna Kay McDaniel, a/k/a Donna Kay Demello,

COUNT ONE - 18 U.S.C. § 1349

Maximum prison sentence: 30 years

Maximum fine: \$1,000,000 or the greater of twice the gross gain or loss

Maximum supervised release term: 5 years

Mandatory special assessment: \$100 Restitution: up to the amount of the loss

ev. 6/78) Case4:10-cr _e 00395-PJH Doc	cument1 Filed05/13/10 Page9 of 24
DEFENDANT INFORMATION RELATIVE TO	O A CRIMINAL ACTION - IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT
BY: COMPLAINT INFORMATION INDICTMENT OFFENSE CHARGED SUPERSEDIN 18 U.S.C. § 1349 - Conspiracy; 18 U.S.C. § 1957 - Money Laundering (4 Counts); 18 U.S.C. § 981 and 21 U.S.C. § 2461 (c) - Fraud Forfeiture Allegation: 18 U.S.C. § 982 - Money Minol	OAKLAND DIVISION
(c) – Fraud Forfeiture Allegation; 18 U.S.C. § 982 – Money Laundering Forfeiture Allegation Misde mear X Felor PENALTY: SEE ATTACHMENT TO PENALTY SHEET	DISTRICT COURT NUMBER CR10-00395 CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT OAKLAND PJH CR10-00395
PROCEEDING Name of Complaintant Agency, or Person (& Title, if any) FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIATION AND	IS NOT IN CUSTODY Has not been arrested, pending outcome this proceeding. 1) If not detained give date any prior
INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICES person is awaiting trial in another Federal or State Court, give name of court	summons was served on above charges 2) Is a Fugitive
this person/proceeding is transferred from another district per (circle one) FRCrp 20, 21, or 40. Show District	3) Son Bail or Release from (show District) IS IN CUSTODY 4) On this charge
this is a reprosecution of charges previously dismissed which were dismissed on motion of: U.S. ATTORNEY DEFENSE	5) On another conviction Federal State 6) Awaiting trial on other charges If answer to (6) is "Yes", show name of institution
this prosecution relates to a pending case involving this same defendant MAGISTRATE CASE NO. prior proceedings or appearance(s) before U.S. Magistrate regarding this defendant were recorded under	DATE OF Month/Day/Year ARREST
Name and Office of Person Furnishing Information on this formJOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO	Or if Arresting Agency & Warrant were not DATE TRANSFERRED Month/Day/Year TO U.S. CUSTODY
Name of Assistant U.S. Attorney (if assigned) Cher U.S. Agency KESLIE STEWART, AUSA	This report amends AO 257 previously submitted
PROCESS: ☐ SUMMONS ☑ NO PROCESS* ☐ WARRANT	Bail Amount:
If Summons, complete following: Arraignment Initial Appearance Defendant Address:	* Where defendant previously apprehended on complaint, no new summons or warrant needed, since Magistrate has scheduled arraignment
	Date/Time: Before Judge:

Comments:

DEFENDANT JASON ARTHUR PIETTE

<u>COUNT ONE</u> - 18 U.S.C. § 1349

Maximum prison sentence: 30 years

Maximum fine: \$1,000,000 or the greater of twice the gross gain or loss

Maximum supervised release term: 5 years

Mandatory special assessment: \$100 Restitution: up to the amount of the loss

DEFENDANT INFORMATION RELATIVE TO	O A CRIMINAL ACTION - IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT
BY: ☐ COMPLAINT ☒ INFORMATION ☐ INDICTMENT	Name of District Court, and/or Judge/Magistrate Location
OFFENSE CHARGED SUPERSEDIN	
18 U.S.C. § 1349 – Conspiracy; 18 U.S.C. § 1957 – Money Petty	OAKLANDADIVISION
Laundering (4 Counts); 18 U.S.C. § 981 and 21 U.S.C. § 2461 (c) – Fraud Forfeiture Allegation; 18 U.S.C. § 982 – Money Mino	
Laundering Forfeiture Allegation Misde	CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT COURT
— mear	
PENALTY: SEE ATTACHMENT TO PENALTY SHEET	DISTRICT COURT NUMBER
	CR10-00395
	UNIO OUDID
	DEFENDANT
PROCEEDING	IS NOT IN CUSTODY Has not been arrested, pending outcome this proceeding.
Name of Complaintant Agency, or Person (& Title, if any) FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIATION AND	1) 🗵 If not detained give date any prior summons was served on above charges
INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICES person is awaiting trial in another Federal or State Court,	-
give name of court	2) Is a Fugitive
	3) Is on Bail or Release from (show District)
this person/proceeding is transferred from another district	
per (circle one) FRCrp 20, 21, or 40. Show District	IS IN CUSTODY
	4) On this charge
this is a reprosecution of	
charges previously dismissed which were dismissed on motion of	5) On another conviction Federal State
of: U.S. ATTORNEY DEFENSE	6) Awaiting trial on other charges
	If answer to (6) is "Yes", show name of institution
this prosecution relates to a	Has detainer Yes I If "Yes"
pending case involving this same defendant MAGISTRATE	I I ≥ give date
prior proceedings or appearance(s)	DATE OF Month/Day/Year
before U.S. Magistrate regarding this defendant were recorded under	ARREST 7
Name and Office of Person	Or if Arresting Agency & Warrant were not DATE TRANSFERRED Month/Day/Year
Furnishing Information on this form JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO	TO U.S. CUSTODY
☑ U.S. Attorney ☐ Other U.S. Agency	
Name of Assistant U.S. Attorney (if assigned) KESLIE STEWART, AUSA	This report amends AO 257 previously submitted
ADDITIONAL INF	ORMATION OR COMMENTS —
PROCESS: ☐ SUMMONS ☑ NO PROCESS* ☐ WARRANT	Bail Amount:
If Summons, complete following:	
Arraignment Initial Appearance	* Where defendant previously apprehended on complaint, no new summons or warrant needed, since Magistrate has scheduled arraignment
Defendant Address:	
	Date/Time: Before Judge:
Comments:	

DEFENDANT RASUL RASULI,

COUNT ONE - 18 U.S.C. § 1349

Maximum prison sentence: 30 years

Maximum fine: \$1,000,000 or the greater of twice the gross gain or loss

Maximum supervised release term: 5 years

Mandatory special assessment: \$100 Restitution: up to the amount of the loss

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

VENUE: Oakland

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

JAMES DELBERT MCCONVILLE,

a/k/a Delbert James McConville,

LAURA MARGERY CATON.

a/k/a Laura Margery Tate a/k/a Laura Gussman

ARAKS DAVOUDI,

a/k/a Araks Galstanian

DONNA DEMELLO,

a/k/a Donna Demello Martin, a/k/a Donna Kay McDaniel,

JASON ARTHUR PIETTE, and RASUL RASULI, DEFENDANT.

a/k/a Donna Kay Demello,

FILED

MAY 1 3 2010

RICHARD W. WIEKING CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND

INDICTMENT

18 U.S.C. § 1349 - Conspiracy; 18 U.S.C. § 1957 - Money Laundering (4 Counts); 18 U.S.C. § 981 and 21 U.S.C. § 2461(c) - Fraud Forfeiture Allegation; 18 U.S.C. § 982 -Money Laundering Forfeiture Allegation

A true-bill. Foreman day of Filed in open court this

JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO (CABN 44332) United States Attorney





RICHARD W. WIEKING CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

OAKLAND DIVISION

CR10-00395

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

15

v.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

JAMES DELBERT MCCONVILLE, a/k/a Delbert James McConville, LAURA MARGERY CATON,

a/k/a Laura Margery Tate a/k/a Laura Gussman

ARAKS DAVOUDI,

a/k/a Araks Galstanian DONNA DEMELLO.

a/k/a Donna Demello Martin, a/k/a Donna Kay McDaniel, a/k/a Donna Kay Demello.

JASON ARTHUR PIETTE, and RASUL RASULI,

Defendants.

VIOLATIONS:

18 U.S.C. § 1349 – Conspiracy; 18 U.S.C. § 1957 - Money Laundering (4 Counts); 18 U.S.C. § 981; 21 U.S.C. § 2461(c) – Fraud Forfeiture; 18 U.S.C. § 982 – Money Laundering Forfeiture

INDICTMENT

The Grand Jury charges:

Background

JAMES DELBERT MCCONVILLE ("MCCONVILLE") was a self-described real 1.

INDICTMENT [MCCONVILLE]

estate developer who used straw buyers to purchase hundreds of condominiums throughout California, including approximately 300 units in Ridgecrest and approximately 80 units in Escondido and San Marcos, California. MCCONVILLE used a number of shell corporations to receive money at the close of escrow on each purchase in the name of a straw buyer.

- 2. The final settlement statement at the close of escrow for a sale of real property is generated on a form approved by the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development called a "HUD-1".
- 3. A straw buyer is defined as a person who allows his name, identifiers, and credit rating to be used to secure a mortgage for the purchase of real property. The straw buyer generally understands that he will neither occupy the property nor make payments on the loan. The straw buyer is generally paid a fee by someone who either intends to flip the property or use the loan to launder illicit funds.
- 4. From approximately November 2002 until approximately December 2009, Diamond House Development was a California corporation based in Fremont, California, and controlled by MCCONVILLE.
- 5. From approximately September 2000 until approximately December 2009, Emerald Park House Corporation was a California corporation based in Fremont, California, and controlled by MCCONVILLE.
- 6. Since approximately October 2006, HI Investments has been a California corporation based in Fremont, California, and controlled by MCCONVILLE.
- 7. From approximately June 2006 until approximately December 2009, La Mirage HA was a California corporation based in Moraga, California, and controlled by MCCONVILLE.
- 8. Since approximately July 2001, Sapphire Park House Corporation has been a California corporation based in Fremont, California, and controlled by McConville.
- 9. From approximately January 31, 2007 until approximately December 2009, Stonemark Asset Portfolio was a California corporation based in Moraga, California, and controlled by MCCONVILLE.
 - 10. From approximately May 2007 until approximately December 2009, Sunset Drive

Media was a California corporation based in Fremont, California, and controlled by MCCONVILLE.

- 11. Since approximately May 2007, 3 Mac Asset Portfolio has been a California corporation based in Fremont, California, and controlled by MCCONVILLE.
- 12. From approximately June 2003 until approximately December 2009, 3 Mac Development Corporation was a California corporation based in Fremont, California, and controlled by MCCONVILLE.
- 13. MCCONVILLE controlled the following bank accounts at Union Bank, the deposits of which were then insured by the Federal Insurance Deposit Corporation:
 - a. Account No. Ending 2445 in the name of Diamond House Development;
 - b. Account No. Ending 0464 in the name of HI Investments;
 - c. Account No. Ending 0194 in the name of Kearny Mesa Townhomes, LLC;
 - d. Account No. Ending 9938 in the name of La Mirage;
 - e. Account No. Ending 0240 in the name of Stonemark Asset Portfolio;
 - f. Account No. Ending 4626 in the name of 3 Mac Development Corp.
- 14. MCCONVILLE controlled the following bank accounts at First Republic Bank, the deposits of which were then insured by the Federal Insurance Deposit Corporation:
 - a. Account No. Ending 3713 in the name of Emerald Park House Corp.;
 - b. Account No. Ending 3705 in the name of Sapphire Park House Corp.;
 - c. Account No. Ending 8446 in the name of 3 Mac Asset Portfolio.
- 15. Defendant JASON ARTHUR PIETTE ("PIETTE") was a licensed realtor in the State of California. PIETTE began working for MCCONVILLE in approximately September 2001.
- 16. Defendant RASUL RASULI ("RASULI") began working for MCCONVILLE in approximately July 2007.
- 17. Co-conspirator Raymond Davoudi worked at Pacific Residential Financing starting some time in early 2006 until approximately February 2007. In approximately February 2007, Davoudi began working for MCCONVILLE.

26

27

- 18. Co-conspirator Bahareh Shamlou received a commission from the State of California to be a notary public in or about June 2006. She began working for MCCONVILLE in or about October 2006.
- 19. Defendant DONNA DEMELLO ("DEMELLO") worked as an escrow officer at Stewart Title in Campbell, California. DEMELLO began working on real estate transactions for MCCONVILLE in or about June 2008.
- 20. Defendant ARAKS DAVOUDI ("DAVOUDI") worked at a Citibank branch in San Jose, California as a personal banker from approximately 2003 to June 2008.
- 21. Defendant LAURA MARGERY CATON ("CATON") was a licensed realtor in the State of California. In approximately February 2007, CATON began working for MCCONVILLE.
- COUNT ONE: (18 U.S.C. § 1349 Conspiracy to Commit Mail and Wire Fraud)
 - 22. Paragraphs 1 through 21 are realleged as if fully set forth herein.
- 23. Beginning in at least August 2006 and continuing until in or about December 2009, in the Northern District of California and elsewhere, the defendants,

JAMES DELBERT MCCONVILLE, a/k/a Delbert James McConville, LAURA MARGERY CATON, a/k/a Laura Margery Tate a/k/a Laura Gussman ARAKS DAVOUDI, a/k/a Araks Galstanian DONNA DEMELLO, a/k/a Donna Demello Martin, a/k/a Donna Kay McDaniel, a/k/a Donna Kay Demello, JASON ARTHUR PIETTE, and RASUL RASULI,

and others known and unknown to the Grand Jury, did knowingly conspire and agree together and with other persons to commit offenses against the United States, namely (a) mail fraud, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1349 and 1341, and (b) wire fraud, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1349 and 1343.

Manner and Means of Conspiracy

24. The objects of the conspiracy were accomplished in substance as follows:

INDICTMENT [MCCONVILLE]

- (a) MCCONVILLE and others recruited individuals (hereafter referred to as "Straw Buyers") to purchase real property located throughout the State of California, including but not limited to real property located in Escondido, Ridgecrest, and San Marcos. The Straw Buyers were told that they would receive between \$5,000 to \$10,000 for the use of their names and credit and that they would not be responsible for making any payments on the mortgage loans, including but not limited to the down payment and all monthly payments.
- (b) Members of the conspiracy prepared and transmitted loan applications to the mortgage lenders that included materially false and misleading information about the employment, income, and assets of the Straw Buyers.
- (c) Members of the conspiracy created fraudulent documents purporting to support the materially false and misleading statements in the loan applications about the employment, income, and assets of the Straw Buyers. For example, PIETTE used Adobe Photoshop, a computer graphics editing software program, to create false bank statements for Straw Buyers.
- (d) Members of the conspiracy regularly obtained multiple loans in the name of an individual Straw Buyer. The loans typically were submitted to different lenders at the same time so that each lender would be unaware of the amount of debt the individual Straw Buyer was incurring at the same time on other loans.
- (e) Members of the conspiracy obtained and provided to the mortgage lenders materially false and misleading appraisals that inflated the value of the real property securing the loans to Straw Buyers.
- (f) Members of the conspiracy fraudulently represented to the mortgage lenders that the money for the down payment was being paid by the Straw Buyer when in fact the money for the down payment was provided by MCCONVILLE. Information about the source of the down payment was material to a lender's decision to approve a loan.
- (g) MCCONVILLE received money at the close of escrow either: (1) in the form of purchase money paid to an entity he controlled acting as the seller or (2) in the form of a "marketing fee" paid to an individual or entity he controlled.

7

5

13 14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21 22

23

25

24

26 27

28

- (h) MCCONVILLE regularly directed that the "marketing fee" be split at the close of escrow into multiple checks to individuals and entities he controlled.
- (i) MCCONVILLE directed that checks for payment of the "marketing fees" be paid to individuals and entities he controlled, including but not limited to: his wife, his daughter, Diamond House Development, Emerald Park House, HI Investments, Kearney Mesa Townhomes, La Mirage HA, Sapphire Park House, Stonemark Asset Portfolio, Sunset Drive Media, 3 Mac Asset Portfolio, and 3 Mac Development.
- When MCCONVILLE'S payment was in the form of a "marketing fee," (j) the escrow officer, DEMELLO, generated a materially false and misleading copy of the HUD-1 that did not disclose the payment of the marketing fee to individuals and entities controlled by MCCONVILLE. In this way, DEMELLO concealed from the lender the fact that a large portion of the loan proceeds was not paid to the seller as part of the purchase price. DEMELLO then caused the fraudulent version of the HUD 1 to be mailed to the lender. Information about the marketing fee was material to a lender's decisions to fund a loan.
- Loans processed by DEMELLO through Stewart Title were funded by (k) wire transfers from the lender into escrow using the Fedwire Funds Service, a service of the Federal Reserve Banks that allows participants to initiate funds transfers. Those wire transfers traveled in interstate commerce through New Jersey.
- (1) Straw Buyers signed grant deeds transferring their legal interest in the real property to entities controlled by MCCONVILLE.
- Members of the conspiracy made payments on the mortgage loans each (m) month to prevent the loans from going into default.
- Members of the conspiracy collected rent from tenants living at the real (n) property purchased in the names of Straw Buyers.
- Members of the conspiracy continued to collect rent from tenants living at (o) the real property even when MCCONVILLE was no longer making payments on the mortgages obtained in the names of the Straw Buyers.

Overt Acts

- 25. In furtherance of the conspiracy and to accomplish the objects of the conspiracy, the defendants and others committed the following overt acts, among others, in the Northern District of California:
 - (a) PIETTE regularly created fraudulent bank statements falsely verifying the assets of Straw Buyers to support loan applications in their names.
 - (b) PIETTE regularly created fraudulent wire transfer receipts falsely representing that money for a down payment was wired out of a Straw Buyer's account.
 - (c) PIETTE, Davoudi, and Shamlou regularly used money provided by
 MCCONVILLE to obtain cashier's checks falsely showing the Straw
 Buyer as the remittur to hide from the lender the true source of money for
 the down payment into escrow.
 - (d) RASULI regularly forged signatures on documents submitted to lenders.
 - (e) Davoudi regularly forged the signature of the Straw Buyer and falsely represented himself as the lender on the Addendum to Purchase Agreement that was provided to the seller of the real property in Escondido and San Marcos, California. The Addendum to Purchase Agreement falsely represented that the Straw Buyer and the lender were aware of the large marketing fee paid to MCCONVILLE for the sale of the condominium.
 - (f) ARAKS DAVOUDI caused to be generated false verifications of deposit for Citibank bank accounts that purported to be the assets of Straw Buyers.
 - (g) Shamlou regularly forged signatures on documents submitted to lenders.
 - (h) Shamlou, in her capacity as a Notary Public in the State of California, regularly notarized documents when the signer was not present and when she knew the signatures were forged.
 - (i) DEMELLO regularly concealed from the lender the payment of the "marketing fee" to individuals and entities controlled by MCCONVILLE.

24

25

26

27

28

She did this by creating two versions of the final HUD-1 at the close of escrow. DEMELLO mailed or faxed to the seller the correct HUD-1 reflecting the marketing fee paid to an individual or entity controlled by MCCONVILLE. She mailed to the lender the fraudulent version of the HUD-1 that did not disclose the payment of a marketing fee to an individual or entity controlled by MCCONVILLE.

- (j) PIETTE, RASULI, Davoudi, and Shamlou regularly caused to be transmitted to lenders loan applications that contained false information about the price of the real property, the income of the Straw Buyer, and the source of money for the down payment.
- (k) PIETTE, RASULI, Davoudi, and Shamlou regularly caused to be transmitted to lenders false documentation of a Straw Buyer's employment, income, and assets.
- (l) CATON regularly mailed monthly mortgage payments to lenders to prevent the fraudulently obtained loans from going into default.
- (m) CATON, PIETTE, and RASULI regularly fielded telephone calls from Straw Buyers who were upset that loans in their names had gone into default.
- (n) CATON forged her mother's name as the buyer on a loan application knowing that the application falsely represented her mother's employment, income, and assets.

All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1349.

COUNTS TWO THROUGH FIVE: (18 U.S.C. § 1957 and 2 – Money Laundering)

- 26. Paragraphs 1 through 21 are realleged as if fully set forth herein.
- 27. On or about the dates set forth below, in the Northern District of California and elsewhere, the defendant,

JAMES DELBERT MCCONVILLE, a/k/a Delbert James McConville,

INDICTMENT [MCCONVILLE]

did knowingly engage and attempt to engage in the following monetary transactions in criminally derived property of a value greater than \$10,000, as described below in Counts Two through Five, that is the withdrawal of funds, such property having been derived from a specified unlawful activity, namely: mail fraud, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1341 and wire fraud, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1343.

COUNT	DATE	MONETARY TRANSACTION
TWO	July 11, 2008	Check No. 1148 in the amount of \$30,000 drawn on the account of 3 Mac Asset Portfolio at Union Bank for the purchase of a Superman comic book.
THREE	July 15, 2008	Check No. 1165 in the amount of \$20,000 drawn on the account of 3 Mac Asset Portfolio at Union Bank for the purchase of a Superman comic book.
FOUR	July 24, 2008	Check No. 1246 in the amount of \$100,000 drawn on the account of 3 Mac Asset Portfolio at Union Bank for the purchase of a Superman comic book.
FIVE	July 23, 2008	Check No. 1248 in the amount of \$100,000 drawn on the account of 3 Mac Asset Portfolio at Union Bank for the purchase of art.

All in violation of Title 18, United States Codes, Sections 1957 and 2.

FORFEITURE ALLEGATION:

(18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C) and 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c) –

Forfeiture of Wire Fraud Proceeds)

- 28. Paragraphs 1 through 27 are realleged as if fully set forth herein for the purpose of alleging forfeiture pursuant to the provisions of 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C) and 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c).
 - 29. Upon conviction of the offense alleged in Count One, the defendants,

JAMES DELBERT MCCONVILLE, a/k/a Delbert James McConville, LAURA MARGERY CATON, a/k/a Laura Margery Tate a/k/a Laura Gussman ARAKS DAVOUDI, a/k/a Araks Galstanian DONNA DEMELLO, a/k/a Donna Demello Martin, a/k/a Donna Kay McDaniel, a/k/a Donna Kay Demello, JASON ARTHUR PIETTE, and RASUL RASULI,

INDICTMENT [MCCONVILLE]

INDICTMENT [MCCONVILLE]

Case4:10-cr-00395-PJH Document1 Filed05/13/10 Page24 of 24

has been transferred or sold to or deposited with a third person; (b) 1 has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the Court; (c) 2 has been substantially diminished in value; or (d) 3 has been commingled with other property which cannot be subdivided (e) 4 without difficulty; 5 any and all interest defendant has in other property, up to the value of the property described in 6 paragraph 32 above, shall be vested in the United States and forfeited to the United States 7 pursuant to Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p), as incorporated by Title 18, United 8 States Code, Section 982(a)(1). 9 All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 982, 1957, and Rule 32.2 of the 10 Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure. 11 May <u>13</u>, 2010 A TRUE BILC. DATED: 12 13 14 15 JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLC 16 United States Attorney 17 18 Chief, Oakland Branch 19 20 (Approved as to form: 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28